UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office -Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alcasadris, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/938,395	09/09/2004	Gera Strommer	02649/100F631-US3	1947
7278 7590 07/162007 DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. BOX 770			EXAMINER	
			ROY, BAISAKHI	
Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	
		**		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			07/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
000	10/938,395	STROMMER, GERA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Baisakhi Roy	3737				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.3 afts SIX (b) MCNF15 from the making date of this communication. If the SIX (b) MCNF15 from the making date of this communication. Failur to proje within the act occented period for reply will, by stakus, Any reply received by the Office later than thee months after the making amend pained them adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.7 docs.	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till (1) anniv and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	N. nely filed				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Se	eptember 2004.	f .				
,,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal I					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	6) [_] Other:					

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :10/7/05,7/14/05,12/07/04,9/24/04.

Application/Control Number: 10/938,395

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37. CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-4 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-49 of copending Application No. 11/233,420. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in '420 directed to a method and medical positioning system for delivering a medical device to a selected position within a lumen clearly anticipate the claims in current application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

 Claims 1-4 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-34 of copending Application Application/Control Number: 10/938,395

Art Unit: 3737

No. 11/233,948. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in '948 directed to a method and medical positioning system for determining a three-dimensional representation of a tubular organ anticipate the claims in current application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vesely et al. (6246898). Vesely et al. disclose a system and method for delivering a stent coupled with a catheter to a selected position within a lumen of the body of a patient by using a medical positioning system for determining the position of the stent within the lumen, (col. 21 lines 49 col. 22 lines 28, col. 23 lines 14-31), in a coordinate system respective of the medical positioning system, according to position data acquired by a sensor attached to the catheter in the vicinity of the stent and for registering the position

Application/Control Number: 10/938,395

Art Unit: 3737

input in the coordinate system (col. 14 lines 1-13, col. 15 lines 26-42, col. 16 lines 33-52), according to a real-time organ timing signal of the inspected organ of the body (col. 23 lines 32 – col. 24 line 5). The method involves maneuvering the catheter through the lumen toward the selected position according the current position relative to the position input and producing an output when the current position matches the selected position (col. 14 lines 39-49, col. 15 lines 51 – col. 16 line 14). Vesely et al. teach the placement or superimposition of the 3-D image of the instrument in the correct spatial relationship with the underlying images showing the environment surrounding the instrument (col. 16 lines 33-52). Vesely et al. teach obtaining an image of the organ or tissue and the 3-D image set is registered with the reference system, where the progression of the catheter is viewed in real time (col. 23 lines 66 – col. 24 line 5).

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO 892 for relevant references.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baisakhi Roy whose telephone number is 571-272-7139. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m. - 4p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3737

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

bA

BR

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT FXAMMER
TECHNOLOGY CENTED 3700